UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/803,990	03/13/2001	Jin Soo Lee	24286/81651	9375
37803 SIDLEY AUST	7590 08/21/200 CIN LLP	EXAMINER		
555 CALIFOR		SHEPARD, JUSTIN E		
SUITE 2000 SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94104-1715		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		08/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Ap		Applicant(s)	pplicant(s)		
		09/803,990		LEE ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Justin E. She	pard	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication app	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRC - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If NO period for reply is specified above, th - Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than I earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	OM THE MAILING DA the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e of this communication. e maximum statutory period w eriod for reply will, by statute, hree months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will expended the application of the appli	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim kpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, ,		
Status	•						
Responsive to communicate     This action is <b>FINAL</b> .     Since this application is in closed in accordance with	2b)☐ This condition for allowar	action is non	r formal matters, pro		ne merits is		
Disposition of Claims			•				
4)	is/are withdrav wed. 60,51,53,54,56,57,60 ected to.	wn from cons 0-65 and 67 is	deration. /are rejected.	application.			
	ed to bu the Evenine						
9) The specification is objected 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request the Replacement drawing sheet(11) The oath or declaration is one of the second	is/are: a) ☐ acce at any objection to the s) including the correct	epted or b)  drawing(s) be lition is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 (	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Feature Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ng Review (PTO-948)	5	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	ate			

Art Unit: 2623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 39, 41-43, 45-48, 50, 51, 53, 54, 56, 57, 60-65, and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozer in view of Schaffer in view of Chan.

Referring to claim 39, Ozer discloses a method implemented by an apparatus for processing multimedia content and information related to multimedia content consumption (column 4, lines 50-58), the method comprising:

receiving a multimedia program having a program identifier identifying the multimedia program (figure 3, boxes 320 and 330);

receiving content description about the multimedia program, the content description including a plurality of description elements (column 11, lines 47-61);

Art Unit: 2623

collecting information about consumption of multimedia content (figure 3, boxes 340 and 350), the collected information identifying a user action related to consumption of content in the multimedia program (figure 4, part 450);

storing a usage history in the apparatus (figure 3, box 350), the usage history including a user action list that includes a user action item (figure 4, part 400) corresponding to the user action (figure 4, part 450), the user action item including the program identifier and a link to a specific element of the content description about the multimedia program is located (figure 4, part 430), wherein the specific element of the content description than is related to the content consumed from the multimedia program in relation to the user action and the particular location is separate from the user action list (figure 4).

Ozer does not disclose a method using the usage history to generate user preference information including a user preference item and a preference value corresponding to the user preference item, wherein the user preference item corresponds to the specific element of the content description; and wherein the link to a particular location where a specific element is located.

In an analogous art, Schaffer teaches a method using the usage history to generate user preference information including a user preference item and a preference value corresponding to the user preference item, wherein the user preference item corresponds to the specific element of the content description (column 2, lines 64-66; column 3, lines 13-15; figure 4).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the user preference corresponding to the content

Art Unit: 2623

description, as taught by Schaffer, to the system disclosed by Ozer. The motivation would have been to allow the user preference to be updated without requiring a large amount of storage (Schaffer: column 3, lines 4-7).

Ozer and Schaffer do not disclose a method wherein the link to a particular location where a specific element is located.

In an analogous art, Chan teaches a method wherein the link to a particular location where a specific element is located (column 8, lines 17-21; figures 2A and 2B).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the html file location tags taught by Chan to the method disclosed by Ozer and Schaffer. The motivation would have been to use a known programming language with documented standards to lower development costs by not having to create a new API.

Claim 48, 54, and 64 are rejected on the same grounds as claim 39.

Referring to claim 41, Ozer discloses a method of claim 39, wherein the program identifier includes a title of the multimedia program (column 11, lines 53-55).

Claim 65 is rejected on the same grounds as claim 41.

Referring to claim 42, Ozer discloses a method of claim 39, wherein the user action item specifies an action time identifying a time of occurrence for the user action (figure 4, part 410).

Art Unit: 2623

Claims 50 and 56 are rejected on the same grounds as claim 42.

Referring to claim 43, Ozer discloses a method of claim 39, wherein the usage history specifies an observation period defining a time period for recording multimedia consumption (column 8, lines 64-65).

Claims 51 and 57 are rejected on the same grounds as claim 43.

Referring to claim 45, Ozer and Schaffer do not disclose a method of claim 39, wherein the link to the particular location is a URL.

In an analogous art, Chan teaches a method of claim 39, wherein the link to the particular location is a URL (column 8, lines 17-21; figures 2A and 2B).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the html file location tags taught by Chan to the method disclosed by Ozer and Schaffer. The motivation would have been to use a known programming language with documented standards to lower development costs by not having to create a new API.

Claims 53 and 67 are rejected on the same grounds as claim 45.

Referring to claim 46, Ozer discloses a method of claim 39, wherein the content description specifies an actor of the multimedia program (figure 4).

Referring to claim 47, Ozer discloses a method of claim 39, wherein the content description specifies a director of the multimedia program (figure 4).

Art Unit: 2623

Referring to claim 60, Ozer does not disclose a method of claim 39, further comprising: updating the user preference information based on the usage history.

Schaffer discloses a method of claim 39, further comprising: updating the user preference information based on the usage history (column 2, lines 64-66; column 3, lines 13-15; figure 4).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the user preference updating taught by Schaffer to the system disclosed by Ozer. The motivation would have been to allow the user preference to be updated without requiring a large amount of storage (Schaffer: column 3, lines 4-7).

Referring to claim 61, Ozer does not disclose a method of claim 39, wherein using the usage history to generate the user preference information includes using the reference to the content description; and wherein the link to a particular location where a specific element is located.

Schaffer discloses a method of claim 39, wherein the user preference item corresponds to at least a portion of the content description, and using the usage history to generate the user preference information includes using the reference to the content description (column 2, lines 64-66; column 3, lines 13-15; figure 4).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the user preference corresponding to the content description, as taught by Schaffer, to the system disclosed by Ozer. The

Art Unit: 2623

motivation would have been to allow the user preference to be updated without requiring a large amount of storage (Schaffer: column 3, lines 4-7).

Ozer and Schaffer do not disclose a method wherein the link to a particular location where a specific element is located.

In an analogous art, Chan teaches a method wherein the link to a particular location where a specific element is located (column 8, lines 17-21; figures 2A and 2B).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the html file location tags taught by Chan to the method disclosed by Ozer and Schaffer. The motivation would have been to use a known programming language with documented standards to lower development costs by not having to create a new API.

Claims 62 and 63 are rejected on the same grounds as claim 61.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

Art Unit: 2623

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin E. Shepard whose telephone number is (571) 272-5967. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2623

JS

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600